

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
WWW.USPIO.GOV

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,202	04-04/2001	Uwe Wiedmann	14XZ00101	2591	
75	90 02.13.2002				
General Electric Company			EXAMINER		
3135 Easton Tur Fairfield, CT 0			CHURCH, CRAIG E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





	Application No. Applicant(s) Examiner		Group Art Unit	
Office Action Summary				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et beneath the co	orrespondence add	ress
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILI	NG DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, experience to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	y within the statutory mxpire SIX (6) MONTHS	ninimum of thirty (30) if from the mailing dat	days will be considered e of this communication	timely.
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			the merits is close	e d in
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s)	is/are	$_$ is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)	is/are	is/are allowed.		
Claim(s)		is/are	rejected.	
Claim(s)		is/are	objected to.	
Claim(s)			bject to restriction or ement.	election
Application Papers				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing				
The proposed drawing correction, filed on			ed.	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	ed to by the Examin	er.		
The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	I 05 II 0 0 8 44 6	\(-\ (- \)		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received.	ne priority documen	ts have been		•
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the International Stage application from the Internation fro	national Bureau (Pi	(, ,		
received in this national stage application from the Intern				
received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received:			mary, PTO-413	
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)		Interview Sum		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 826,202 Art Unit 2882

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure. While the disclosure teaches that an optical filter is provided whose absorption characteristics are set according to the temperature of an intensifier, no means for determining said temperature and for adjusting filter performance in response thereto are taught.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 2, 8, 14, 22 and 25 are obscure since they do not specify what temperature is being referred to, ie light is not a tangible object and does not have a temperature. The meanings of "shift" (of what?) in claims 2, 8, 14, 22, and 25 and "light emitter" in claims 1, 2, 8, 14, 22 and 25 are unclear.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms

Serial No. 826,202 Art Unit 2882

the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Quint et al (5734693). Quint teaches optical filters 16 and 16a adjacent intensifiers within an x-ray cassette. Claims 1-28 are so obscure that a meaningful comparison with the prior art cannot be made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (703) 308-4861.

CRAIG E. CHURCH
Senior Examiner
ART UNIT 2882